

212

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.27651 of 2013

Date of Decision : 07.04.2017

Raj Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Deepak Sabherwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Shruti Jain Goyal, AAG, Haryana.

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

The petitioner has challenged the order dated 20.11.2013 (Annexure P-5) whereby certain benefits granted to him were revoked. The solitary ground taken by the petitioner is that no notice was issued to the petitioner before the benefits were revoked. In the written statement this fact has not been denied though the impugned order has sought to be defended on merits.

In my opinion, it was incumbent upon the respondents to have first issued notice to the respondents before revoking any benefits which had been granted to him.

Consequently, the impugned order is set aside and a direction is given to the respondents to pass a fresh order after issuing notice to the petitioner and after giving an opportunity of being heard.

Further the learned counsel for the petitioner states that in view of this order the respondents may not proceed with the matter, leaving the petitioner in the lurch.

I find some weight in this argument. In the circumstances, the respondents are directed to pass fresh order within a period of 4 months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. Needless to say, in case the order is passed in favour of the petitioner he will get all consequential benefits.

Consequently, the petition is disposed of

Since the main case has been decided, the pending Civil Misc. Application, if any, also stands disposed of.

April 07, 2017
ashish

(**AJAY TEWARI**)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned - Yes/No

Whether reportable - Yes/No