

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Civil Writ Petition No.19834 of 2017.

Date of Decision: September 06, 2017

Ishu Dhingra

.....Petitioner

versus

Punjab University, Chandigarh and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA KANT.

HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL.

Present: Mr.Gazi Mohd. Umair, Advocate, for the petitioner.

-.-

Surya Kant, J. (Oral)

The petitioner seeks quashing of the order dated 17.08.2017 passed by the Director, PEC University of Technology, Chandigarh whereby his claim for admission against the seat reserved for Sports quota on the basis of marks obtained in JEE Mains-2017 examination has been rejected. While the petitioner's case is that for admission against the seat reserved for Sports quota also, a candidate was required to score more than the cut off marks of 81 in JEE Mains-2017 examination, the Competent Authority in the impugned order has clarified that as per Clause 6.4.2.4 of the Information Brochure *inter se* merit of the candidates seeking admission against the seats reserved for Sports category was required to be determined “only on the basis of their merit in sports as per the grading criteria.....” As regard to the petitioner's plea that besides the sports gradation, the candidates were required to score more than 81 marks, it has been clarified that the cut off scoring of 81 marks was for participation in JEE Mains-2017 (Advanced) for general category candidates and nowhere it has been indicated that candidates scoring less than 81 marks have failed to qualify

We have heard learned counsel for the petitioner.

Having regard to the specific clause contained in the Information Brochure, we do not find any ground to interfere with the impugned order.

Dismissed.

[SURYA KANT]
JUDGE

September 06, 2017
mohinder

[SUDHIR MITTAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No

CM No.12452 of 2017 in
CWP No.19834 of 2017

- - -

Ishu Dhingra versus Punjab University, Chandigarh and others

Present : Mr.Gazi Mohd. Umair, Advocate,
for the applicant-petitioner.

* * *

For the reasons mentioned in the application, the same is
allowed subject to all just exceptions and the document (P3) is taken on
record.

CM stands disposed of.

(SURYA KANT)
JUDGE

September 06, 2017
mohinder

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE