

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.117

**Civil Writ Petition No.19825 of 2017**  
**DECIDED ON: September 01, 2017**

**GURBACHAN SINGH AND ANOTHER**

**..PETITIONERS**

**VERSUS**

**PEPSU ROAD TRANSPORT CORPORATION AND ANOTHER**

**...RESPONDENTS**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH**

Present: Mr. Gagneshwar Walia, Advocate,  
for the petitioners.

\*\*\*\*\*

**JASPAL SINGH, J. (ORAL)**

By virtue of the instant civil writ petition preferred under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, petitioners have sought the issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to count the entire daily wage service rendered by the petitioners prior to their appointment as qualifying service for the purposes of pensionary/retiral benefits and further for grant of revised pensionary benefits including gratuity, leave encashment, arrears of pension and other service benefits along with interest @ 12% per annum on account of delay in terms of judgment dated April 21, 2015 passed by this Court in CWP No.8064 of 2014 captioned as *Ujjagar Singh vs. Pepsu Road Transport Corporation* (Annexure P-1).

**Civil Writ Petition No.19825 of 2017**

2. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that representation dated April 20, 2017 (Annexure P-3) has been served upon the respondent No.1 for the necessary relief but no action is being taken and they would be satisfied if a direction is issued to respondent No.1 to consider and decide the said representation within a specified period.

3. Accordingly, instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.1 to take a decision on the representation dated April 20, 2017 (Annexure P-3) and pass a speaking order in accordance with rules, law and instructions particularly keeping in view the judgment dated April 21, 2015 passed by this Court in CWP No.8064 of 2014 captioned as ***Ujjagar Singh vs. Pepsu Road Transport Corporation*** (Annexure P-1) within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

4. However, if petitioners still feel aggrieved by any order of the afore-said authority, they shall be at liberty to approach this Court.

**September 01, 2017**

*Ankur*

**(JASPAL SINGH)  
JUDGE**

**Whether speaking/reasoned  
Whether reportable**

**Yes/No  
Yes/No**