

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

109

**Civil Writ Petition No.19742 of 2017
Date of Decision: October 23, 2017**

Keshav Agro Industries

...Petitioner

versus

The State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

***CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR MITTAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL***

Present: Mr. Peeush Gagneja, Advocate for the petitioner.

AJAY KUMAR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

The petitioner has approached this Court by way of instant writ petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari setting aside the notice/order dated 27.07.2017 (**Annexure P-2**) passed by respondent No.3 vide which it was called to produce the record pertaining to purchase of agriculture produce for deposit of market fee for the paddy/rice from out of State. Further prayer has also been made to restrain respondent No.3 from levying market fee and RDF from the petitioner to such rice/paddy which has been purchased from outside the State of Punjab and to direct the respondents to decide the representation dated 18.08.2017 (**Annexure P-1**) filed by it, before proceeding with the aforesaid notice Annexure P-2.

2. It was submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that the record, in pursuance to the impugned notice dated 27.07.2017 (Annexure P-2), has been produced before the concerned respondent but no order has been passed till date. Accordingly, it was prayed that he may be allowed to withdraw the present writ petition, being premature at this stage, with liberty to the petitioner to approach this Court in case, any adverse order is passed by the concerned authority.

3. Dismissed as withdrawn. It shall, however, be open to the petitioner to take recourse to the remedies as may be available to it, in accordance with law, in case any adverse order is passed.

(AJAY KUMAR MITTAL)
JUDGE

October 23, 2017
sonia gugnani

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No