

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

121

CWP-19698-2017(O&M)
Date of decision:31.08.2017

Rajinder Singh and others

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

Present: Mr. Kapil Kakkar, Advocate for the petitioners.

P.B. BAJANTHRI, J. (ORAL)

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the court, Mr. B.S.Sewek, Addl. A.G. Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is directed to furnish 3 sets of writ papers to learned State counsel.

With consent of both the parties, present petition is heard finally.

Petitioner has sought for a direction which reads as under:-

to issue a writ, order or direction especially in the nature of MANDAMUS directing the respondents no.3 to grant the grace marks of the questions No.105, 141 and 149 of Question Book Series-3 or the other numbers allotted to the same very questions in other book series in the Punjab State Teacher Eligibility Test-2016 (PSTET-I) conducted by Respondent No.3, as under taken by him while filing affidavit dated 13.07.2017 before this Hon'ble Court in CWP No.1147 of 2017 (Annexure P-4) and declare the revised result

of the petitioners **forthwith** so that they may apply against 4183 posts of ETT Teachers to be advertised in the 2nd week of September 2017, as decided by the Cabinet in its meeting held in the 1st week of August 2017, because they cannot be punished for giving correct answers for the reasons that wrong answer keys were adopted by Respondent No.3 for evaluating the questions or incorrect options were provided or more than one correct option was provided.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that yesterday (30.08.2017) respondents have notified revised result in the website including the petitioner's result. In view of the later development relief sought above do not survive. Hence the concerned respondent is required to take further steps in the matter. In this regard, if the petitioner's submits any representation or application same shall be considered by the competent authority at the earliest.

With the above observation, CWP stands disposed of.

(P.B.BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE

31.08.2017

pooja saini

Whether speaking/reasons

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No