

**112 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.1965 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision : February 03, 2017**

Mukhbinder Singh and others **Petitioners**

Versus

State of Punjab and another **Respondents**

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. G.S. Samra, Advocate for the petitioners.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Petitioners retired from service as Lecturers on different dates.

They seek the writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to permit the petitioners to exercise the option for revised pay scale w.e.f. 1.1.1993 in view of changed circumstances created by the change in master scale vide Notification issued on 24.12.1992 (Annexure P-1).

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the controversy has been settled by a judgment of this Court rendered in Civil Writ Petition No.7464 of 2009 titled as ***“Surinder Kumar and others v State of Punjab and others”***. The petitioners have already issued a legal notice dated 25.12.2016 (Annexure P -6).

In the circumstances, the petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to consider the claim of the petitioners, as raised in legal notice dated 25.12.2016 (Annexure P-6) and after considering the judgment of ***Surinder Kumar and others' case (supra)***, pass a speaking order as to whether the petitioners' case is covered by the said decision and if it is so,

whether they are entitled to notional consequential benefits. The necessary order be passed within two months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

February 03, 2017
sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable:	No