

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 23212 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of decision: 29.3.2017

Tejinder Singh Bal

...Petitioner

Versus

The State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present: Mr. ID Singla, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. J.S. Puri, Additional Advocate General, Punjab.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

The instant writ petition has been filed raising a grievance that the name of the petitioner was not reflected in the seniority list that was prepared. Mr. Singla, at the outset, submits that the petitioner had preferred a representation dated 21.8.2014 (Annexure P/11), however, the same was not considered and he seeks simpliciter direction to be issued that the same be decided as expeditiously as possible.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

In view of the limited prayer made, this writ petition is disposed of with direction that in case the petitioner files a fresh detailed representation, along with certified copy of this order, within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order, respondent No.1 will decide the same as expeditiously as possible, preferably within three months from the date of receipt of said representation. A personal

hearing be afforded to the petitioner before passing an appropriate speaking order.

The writ petition stands disposed of in terms of the aforesaid directions.

29.3.2017
prem

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes
No