

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.19636 of 2017
DECIDED ON: August 31, 2017**

CHARANJIT SHARMA

.....PETITIONER..

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS.

.....RESPONDENTS..

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Varun Dhawan, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J. (ORAL)

By virtue of instant petition preferred under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus, directing the respondents to release all the remaining retiral benefits to the petitioner with interest.

2. At the very outset of the arguments, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner feels satisfied in case direction is issued to respondents No.2 & 3 to decide the legal notice dated 19.07.2017 (Annexure P-5), within a stipulated period.

3. Accordingly, instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents No.2 & 3 to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in his legal notice dated 19.07.2017 (Annexure P-5) and take a conscious decision,

that too, after hearing the petitioner and by passing a speaking order, within a period of 3 months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

4. However, in case, the petitioner still feels aggrieved against the order passed by respondents No.2 & 3, he shall be at liberty to approach this Court.

August 31, 2017
sonika

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned *Yes*

Whether reportable *Yes/No*