

**109 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.23193 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision : May 31, 2017

Santosh Devi

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Surinder Gaur, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. R.S. Pathania, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Aman Sharma, Advocate for respondent No.4.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for respondent No.4 has filed additional affidavit in the Court today and the same is taken on record. It is stated that the remaining amount of ₹4,00,000/- has been paid. However, the interest is yet to be paid.

In these circumstances, the present petition is disposed of with direction to respondent No.4 to pay interest @ 9% per annum to the petitioner within four weeks.

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**

May 31, 2017

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable:

No