

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Civil Writ Petition No.19599 of 2017.

Date of Decision: August 31, 2017

Gulshan Kumar Mandora

.....Petitioner

versus

The State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA KANT.

HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL.

Present: Mr.Kuldeep Singh Yadav, Advocate, for the petitioner.

-.-

Surya Kant, J. (Oral)

The petitioner's annual family income is Rs.4,85,000/- as has been certified by the Principal of Kendriya Vidyalaya No.2, Chandimandir Cantt. where father of the petitioner is serving as a Teacher. The petitioner applied for admission to B.V.Sc.& A.H. Course in the category of Backward Class Group-A and as per his performance in the entrance test, he is placed at Sr.No.8 in the said category. The rule of reservation for admission as prescribed in the Information Brochure of the University provides as under:-

“..... The children of persons of Backward Classes having gross annual income of upto three lakh rupees shall first of all get the benefit of reservation. The left out quota shall go to that class of Backward Classes of citizens who earn more than three lakh rupees but upto six lakh rupees per annum. The sections of the Backward Classes earning above six lakh rupees per annum shall be considered as creamy layer.....”

In terms of the above-reproduced clause, the petitioner's candidature can be considered only for the left out Backward Classes quota seats as the income of his family is more than three lakhs per annum. The

petitioner asserts his claim at the very first instance which, in our considered view, is contrary to the above reproduced eligibility criteria. The Government Policy prescribing the income cap or such criteria prescribed by the University in its Information Brochure is not under challenge.

No case to interfere with by this Court is made out.

Dismissed.

[SURYA KANT]
JUDGE

August 31, 2017
mohinder

[SUDHIR MITTAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No