

CWP No. 19581 of 2017
DECIDED ON: AUGUST 31, 2017

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Atul Yadav, Advocate
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J (ORAL)

By virtue of instant petition preferred under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought the issuance of writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to grant him salary of suspension period w.e.f. 23.11.2011 to 29.06.2012; to pay him arrears of stepped up; to pay him 50 days leave encashment of suspension period; to pay him gratuity and commutation along with interest.

2. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he feels satisfied in case instant petition is treated as representation and direction be issued to respondent No.2 to decide the same within a stipulated period.

3. Accordingly, instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in the

instant petition and take a conscious decision in accordance with law within a period of three months from the date of certified copy of this order. In case respondent No.2 comes to the conclusion that petitioner is entitled to the relief(s) claimed by him, to release the same within next 45 days. However, the matter with regard to grant of interest on delayed payment be also considered in view of observations made by Full Bench of this Court in case captioned as **“A.S. Randhawa vs. State of Punjab and others; 1997 (3) SCT 468** and in CWP No. 8772 of 2015 titled as **Charan Dass vs. State of Punjab & others;** decided on July 11, 2017. However, if the petitioner still feels aggrieved by any order of the afore-said authority, he shall be at liberty to approach this Court.

AUGUST 31, 2017
sham

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether reportable Yes/No