

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Civil Writ Petition No.23100 of 2016.

Date of Decision: January 18, 2017

Mukesh

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA KANT.
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE GURMIT RAM.**

Present: Mr.Sham Lal Bhalla, Advocate, for the petitioner.

-. -

1. Whether Reporters of Local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Surya Kant, J. (Oral)

The writ petition raises several disputed questions of facts which can not be effectively adjudicated in writ proceedings. The petitioner has got an effective alternative remedy to approach the Permanent Lok Adalat (Public Utility Services). We are sure that as soon as he would file a claim, the said Forum will expeditiously decide the same in accordance with law.

The writ petition stands disposed of accordingly.

**[SURYA KANT]
JUDGE**

January 18, 2017
mohinder

**[GURMIT RAM]
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No