

HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.1949 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 02.02.2017

Sunil Khanna

... Petitioner

VS.

State of Haryana & Ors.

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA KANT

HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA

Present: Mr. Akshay Kumar Jindal, Advocate for the petitioner

SURYA KANT, J. (Oral)

(1) After arguing the case for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks to withdraw this writ petition with liberty to approach the Estate Officer with a request that the petitioner may be allowed to run his business from the booth in question at least for a period of six months on payment of such damages/user charges as may be determined by the said authority so as to enable him to arrange the alternative premises meanwhile.

(2) We have no reason to doubt that such a request made by the petitioner would be sympathetically considered by the authorities.

(3) The writ petition stands dismissed as withdrawn in above terms.

**(Surya Kant)
Judge**

02.02.2017

vishal shonkar

**(Sudip Ahluwalia)
Judge**

1. Whether speaking/reasoned?
2. Whether reportable?

**Yes
No**