

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-19464-2017

Decided on: 30.08.2017

Parvinder Kumar

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. S.K. Malik, Advocate
for the petitioner.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J (ORAL)

In respect of the grievance of the petitioner, he is stated to have got served a legal notice upon respondents No.1 and 2, a copy of which has been annexed as Annexure P-7 with the petition.

Hence, without making any comment on the merits of the case of the petitioner, this petition is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority to take a decision on the aforesaid legal notice by passing a speaking order within a period of 3 months from the date of a certified copy of this order.

If the petitioners' claim is to be rejected, detailed reasons of rejection, dealing with each aspect of the recovery ordered to be made from the petitioner in his capacity as a TGT, and the reasons for non-stepping up of his pay to the level of his junior (in such capacity), be given in the speaking order.

**(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

30.08.2017

Dinesh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No