

CWP No. 19376 of 2017

DECIDED ON: AUGUST 29, 2017

DARSHAN

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA & ORS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Rajesh Goyal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J (ORAL)

By virtue of instant petition preferred under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought the issuance of writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to release the full pension and GPF with all statutory benefits to her being widow of Inderjeet LM retired.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though petitioner has served two legal notices including legal notice dated May 04, 2016 (Annexure P-2) upon the respondents but till date no action has been taken. He further submits that he feels satisfied in case a direction is issued to respondent No.3 to decide the above-said legal notice within a stipulated period.

3. Accordingly, instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3 to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in her legal notice dated May 04, 2016 (Annexure P-2) and take a conscious decision

in accordance with law within a period of three months from the date of certified copy of this order. In case respondent No.3 comes to the conclusion that petitioner is entitled to the relief(s) claimed by her, to release the same within next 45 days. However, the matter with regard to grant of interest on delayed payment be also considered in view of observations made by Full Bench of this Court in case captioned as **“A.S. Randhawa vs. State of Punjab and others; 1997 (3) SCT 468** and in CWP No. 8772 of 2015 titled as **Charan Dass vs. State of Punjab & others;** decided on July 11, 2017. However, if the petitioner still feels aggrieved by any order of the afore-said authority, she shall be at liberty to approach this Court.

AUGUST 29, 2017
sham

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned ***Yes/No***

Whether reportable ***Yes/No***