

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.19315 of 2017
DECIDED ON: August 28, 2017

PARTAP SINGH

.....PETITIONER..

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENTS..

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. P.L. Verma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J (ORAL)

By virtue of instant petition preferred under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondent to pay the petitioner ₹2000/- conveyance allowance onwards 01.06.2011 being handicapped in view of govt. letter dated 16.08.2011 instead as release by them at the rate of ₹1000/- only with all consequential benefits etc.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though legal notice dated 09.05.2016 (Annexure P-3) was duly served upon the respondent(s) but till date neither any action has been taken nor any response has been received thereon. He further submits that petitioner feels satisfied in case direction is issued to the respondent(s) to decide the aforesaid legal notice within a stipulated period.

3. Accordingly, instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent(s) to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in his legal notice dated 09.05.2016 (Annexure P-3) and reconsider the same particularly in view of govt. letter dated 16.08.2011, within a period of 3 months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. In case, the petitioner still feels aggrieved against the order passed by the respondent(s), he shall be at liberty to approach this Court.

August 28, 2017
sonika

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned *Yes*

Whether reportable *Yes/No*