

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP No.19314 of 2017
Date of Decision:28.08.2017

Surender Singh

.....PETITIONER

Vs.

State of Haryana and another

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr.Sandeep Kumar Yadav, Advocate
for the petitioner.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH J. (ORAL)

By this petition, the petitioner is seeking a step up of his pay to the level of his juniors, in terms of the judgment of this Court in CWP no.11254 of 2010, decided on 15.05.2013, titled as *Neelam Rani Vs. The State of Haryana and others.*

In respect of his grievance, the petitioner is stated to have served a legal notice upon the respondents, a copy of which has been annexed as Annexure P-5.

On a specific query, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that no representation of the petitioner has been rejected by the respondents.

Consequently, this petition is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority amongst the respondents to take a decision on the legal notice of the petitioner (Annexure P-5), dated 26.11.2016, and to decide the same in terms of the judgment of this Court in Neelam Ranis' case (supra) within a period of 5 months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

If the petitioners' case is not covered by the aforesaid judgment or any other judgment on the subject, detailed reasons as to why it is not covered, shall be given in the speaking order to be passed.

It is also clarified that in case a speaking order has already been passed giving such detailed reasons, then only a short order need be passed in the present petitioners' case, annexing therewith the detailed order already passed (if any).

**(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

28.08.2017

Anjal

Whether speaking/reasoned? Yes/No

Whether reportable? Yes/No