

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

-.-

C.W.P No. 23823 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 04.09.2017

Kanwarjit Singh

..... Petitioner.

Vs.

U.T of Chandigarh & Ors.

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER

HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI

Present: - Mr. S.K. Nehra, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Suvir Sehgal, Sr. Standing Counsel, U.T.Chandigarh with
Mr. Aashish Rawal, Advocate.

MAHESH GROVER, J.(ORAL)

On 20.10.2016, this Court had passed the order to the following
effect:-

*“Learned Senior Standing Counsel for U.T., Chandigarh seeks
time to have specific instructions as to whether the authorities
are inclined to re-visit the Rules and change the nomenclature
of the expression “Degree in Public Health” to “Degree in an
appropriate branch of Engineering”.*

List on 06.03.2017.”

Sh. Suvir Sehgal, Senior Standing Counsel for U.T., Chandigarh,
contends that if the proposal for change in nomenclature of the expression is
accepted, some time would be consumed to implement the same and insofar
as the grievance raised in the petition regarding the selection pursuant to
Annexures P/2 and P/4 is concerned, those advertisements stand withdrawn,
nullifying the process of selection and robbing the petition of any justifiable
lis as on today.

It is, thus, contended that the petition be disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

Considering the fact that when the advertisements stand withdrawn, the anxiety of the petitioner to deprive him of selection on account of faulty expression in the Rules stands relegated to the background particularly when the respondents are dealing with the situation and affecting change as indicated in the order dated 20.10.2016, the present petition is disposed of as having become infructuous.

(MAHESH GROVER)
JUDGE

(RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI)
JUDGE

September 04, 2017
tripti

Whether speaking/reasoned - Yes/No
Whether reportable - Yes/No