

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 1930 of 2017
Date of Decision: 02.02.2017**

Kuldip Singh & Others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab & Others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. R. K. Arora, Advocate
for the petitioners.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

The petitioners herein are aggrieved against the inaction of the respondents-State in regularizing their services as per Punjab Government's Policy dated 18.03.2011 (*Annexure P-6*).

Counsel for the petitioners submits that an enquiry was conducted as to whether the services of the petitioners herein should be regularized as per the Punjab Government's policy dated 18.03.2011 and the enquiry officer came to the conclusion that the technical education of the 10 workers/petitioners herein is similar to the workers who had been regularized earlier and that the said qualification of the petitioners herein was prior to the regularization of the similarly situated persons. It was recommended that the services of the workers, petitioners herein, should be regularized as per the present policy of the Government. Despite several representations in this regard, services of the petitioners herein have not been regularized to date. Aggrieved, the instant petition has been filed.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioners and found that

there is a gross inaction on the part of the respondents-State in taking a conscious decision on the representations that were made.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Indper Pal Goyat, Additional Advocate General, Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents-State. Let four copies of the paper book be served upon him during the course of the day.

Counsel for the respondents-State submits that representation(s) will be decided as expeditiously as possible.

In view of the very fair submission that has been made, without commenting on the merits of the case, this writ petition is disposed with directions to petitioners herein to furnish their fresh representation(s) along with a certified copy of this order within a period of two weeks. In case, such representation(s) is filed before the respondents-State, the same be decided by passing a speaking order within a period of two months thereafter. Needless to say, in case petitioners are found entitled to the relief as claimed, the needful be done within a period of one month thereafter.

Disposed of.

February 02, 2017

Ansari

**(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No