

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

CWP No. 22858 of 2016
Date of Decision: April 03, 2017

Vijay Kumar

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

Present: Mr. S.S. Mor, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Karan Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

P.B. Bajanthri, J. (Oral)

1. Petitioner is a candidate to the post of Constable under General Duty category. His answer sheet has not been evaluated by the selecting authority on the score that petitioner has scratched question No.29 in respect of answer (A) and (D).
2. Perusal of OMR answer sheet with naked eye, it is evident that the petitioner has erased against answer No. (D) to question No.29. Against question No.29, answer (A) and (D) has been marked. Insofar as (A) answer is concerned, it is darkened, whereas (D) is concerned, it has been scratched. Therefore, there is violation of instructions to the candidates. Thus, the respondents have rightly rejected the petitioner's candidature to the post of Constable. Therefore, petitioner has not made out a case.
3. Accordingly, instant writ petition stands dismissed.

March 03, 2017
vkd

[P.B. Bajanthri]
Judge

Whether reasoned / speaking : Yes

Whether reportable : No