

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.19228 of 2017

Date of decision : 13.10.2017

Nirmal Singh and others

....Petitioners

versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA

Present: Mr. P.K.S. Phoolka, Advocate
for the petitioners.

--

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J. (ORAL)

It has been averred that the petitioners were engaged in service as Home Guards, under the Department of Home Affairs, State of Punjab.

Prayer in the instant writ petition is for issuance of a writ of mandamus for directing the respondent-department to grant them the benefits of two years extension in service.

Having heard counsel for the petitioners at length, this Court is of the considered view that no basis for interference is made out.

Counsel representing the petitioners is unable to advert to any statutory provision whereby the age of superannuation has been defined. This would be relevant fact since a prayer for extension in service can only be raised after attaining the age of superannuation.

That apart neither is there any reference to a circular/notification/instructions issued by the appropriate State Government on the strength of which the prayer in the instant writ petition seeking

extension in service has been raised and nor any such document stands appended with the instant petition.

Under such circumstances, prayer made in the instant writ petition cannot be accepted, and the same is accordingly dismissed.

**(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE**

October 13, 2017

sahil soni

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No