

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

109

CWP-23747-2015 (O&M)
Date of decision: 06.03.2017

SI Ramkaran

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice P.B. Bajanthri

Present: Mr. Aditya Yadav, Advocate for for the petitioner.

Mr. Hitesh Pandit, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

P.B. Bajanthri, J. (Oral)

CM-3176-CWP-2017

This is an application for placing on record Annexure P11 and replication by way of short affidavit. Heard. Allowed, as prayed for subject to all just exceptions.

Annexure P11 and replication are taken on record.

Main case.

On the oral submission of learned counsel for the petitioner, main matter is taken on board today itself.

In the instant writ petition, the petitioner has questioned the validity of Annexure P9 by which the petitioner's pay has been reduced without assigning any reason which is disadvantage to the petitioner. Perusal of Annexure P9 it is only a calculation table. No reason has been assigned as to why the petitioner's pay has been reduced. Therefore,

Annexure P-9 is set aside. Reserving liberty to the respondent to issue show cause notice to the petitioner if they are reducing the petitioner's pay by assigning reasons and also such refixation under relevant provision. After receipt of reply from the petitioner they are permitted to proceed further in the matter in accordance with law.

CWP stands disposed of.

(P.B.BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE

06.03.2017
pooja saini

Whether speaking/reasons	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No