

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.26971 of 2013

Date of Decision:-01.05.2017.

Sonika

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Keshav Gupta, AAG, Haryana.

P.B. BAJANTHRI, J. (Oral)

In the last two occasions, there was no representation on behalf of the petitioner. Even today, there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner. Matter relates to selection and appointment to the post of Female Supervisor pursuant to the advertisement dated 19.3.2011. The official respondents have stated that the petitioner is a lesser merited than the last selected candidate under general merit. The petitioner has secured 38.07 out of 75 whereas the last candidate has secured 50.08 marks. The petitioner has also not filed replication to the reply statement filed on behalf of the second respondent in which the second respondent has contended that the petitioner is not meritorious candidate than the last candidate so as to consider petitioner's name for selection and appointment to the post of Female Supervisor.

Accordingly, petition stands dismissed.

**(P.B. BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE**

May 01, 2017.

sandeep sethi

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes / No

Whether Reportable:-

Yes / No.