

261-2

IN THE PUNJAB & HARYANA HIGH COURT AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-26947-2013

Date of decision : 24.08.2017

Indira Mohini

... Petitioner

Versus

Haryana Urban Development Authority

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Dr.Surya Parkash, Advocate
for the petitioner.

None for the respondent.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner had challenged her order of premature retirement dated 30.09.2013, Annexure P-5, by which she was ordered to be retired at the age of 55 years. However, she having now reached the 'normal' age of superannuation, i.e. 58 years, she stands retired w.e.f. 30.09.2016 and as such this petition has been rendered infructuous.

Disposed of as such.

**(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

August 24, 2017.

Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No