

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.19132 of 2017

Date of Decision:22.12.2017

Rohit

... Petitioner

Vs.

Union of India and others

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

Present : Mr. Dharampal Gill, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Anil Chawla, Advocate for the respondents-UOI.

P.B. BAJANTHRI J. (Oral)

Respondents have passed a speaking order on 30.11.2017 pursuant to the order dated 25.08.2017. Therefore, the present petition do not survive for consideration.

Petition stands dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.

At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that seeking information in respect of religion of a candidate is contrary to the decision of the Supreme Court.

As long as relevant clause of OMR answer sheet 2016 is not challenged, petitioner is not entitled to contend that matter is covered by the decision of the Supreme Court. Therefore, he seeks liberty to challenge the OMR answer sheet, 2016.

To that extent liberty is granted.

22.12.2017
rajeev

(P.B. Bajanthri)
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No