

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.19106 of 2017
Date of decision: 25.08.2017

Tehlan Education Society and others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Vinod S. Bhardwaj, Advocate for the petitioners.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

Notice of motion.

Mr. Shivendra Swaroop, AAG, Haryana, present in court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

For the nature of order, I propose to pass in this petition, no formal written statement on behalf of the respondents is necessary, at this stage. Thus, with the consent of the parties, the petition is being finally disposed of.

The limited grievance that the petitioners have is that their land, measuring 6 kanals 19 marlas, was acquired pursuant to a notification dated 26.12.1988, issued under Section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act. And vide award No.3, dated 21.08.1996, the Collector had assessed the value of the acquired land. But till date they have not been disbursed the compensation. So much so, the respondents were served with a notice dated 06.03.2017 (Annexure P10) and in response thereto the Director General, Urban Estates, Panchkula, vide letter dated 05.05.2017 (Annexure P11), required the Zonal

Administrator, HUDA-cum-ADUE, Rohtak and Land Acquisition Officer, Rohtak to look into the claim of the petitioners and take necessary action. They were also advised to respond to the notice (Annexure P10). But to no avail. It is contended that although a considerable time has elapsed, but the matter has not made any tangible progress and no result is in sight either.

That being so, and without expressing any opinion on merits, the petition is disposed of, at this stage, with a direction to the respondents to consider and decide the notice served upon them by the petitioners i.e. 06.03.2017 (Annexure P10) in accordance with law. Needless to assert that a comprehensive order shall be passed assigning reasons in support thereof within a period of four weeks from today. And, if necessary, the petitioners would also be afforded an opportunity of hearing.

Disposed of in the above terms.

August 25, 2017
Rajan

(Arun Palli)
Judge

Whether speaking / reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

YES
NO