

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.19036 of 2017

Date of Decision: August 24, 2017

Kuldeep Singh and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present:- Mr. S.K. Malik, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Amol Rattan Singh, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that in respect of their grievance, the petitioners have got served a legal notice upon the respondents, a copy of which has been annexed as Annexure P-9 with the petition; however, no decision has been taken so far.

Hence, without making any comment on the merits of the contentions made in the petition, it is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority amongst the respondents to take a decision on the aforesaid legal notice of the petitioners (Annexure P-9), dated 25.05.2017, by passing a speaking order within a period of 4 months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

Though it is the contention of the learned counsel for the petitioners that the petitioners' case has never been rejected till date, if any order has however been passed by the respondents already rejecting the petitioners' case and the said rejection is by a detailed speaking order giving reasons for such rejection, then only a short order need be passed now

annexing therewith the detailed order already passed (if any).

The judgments of this Court on the issue as have been cited in the legal notice, would obviously be looked at by the respondents to see whether the claim of the petitioners is genuine or not in terms of such judgments.

August 24, 2017	(Amol	Rattan	Singh)
vcgarg		Judge	
	Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes	
	Whether reportable:	no	