

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

Gurpreet Kaur
2017.09.28 10:54
Attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document
high court

CWP No.18930 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 26.09.2017

Janak Dulari

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. R.K. Arya, Advocate
for the petitioner.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is aggrieved by the transfer order, whereby, she has been transferred at a far off place and her husband has also taken pre-mature retirement on the ground of ailment.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the husband of the petitioner is undergoing treatment which has been supported by medical documents. He further submits that a representation was made on 12.08.2017 but no action has been taken thereupon so far. Learned counsel also submits that the petitioner would be satisfied, in case, the directions are issued by this Court to consider her representation.

Without commenting anything on the merits of the case and keeping in view the limited prayer, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to consider the representation dated 12.08.2017 (Annexure P-6) and take necessary action in accordance with law and transfer policy framed by the State Government.

However, in case, the action is to be taken then the petitioner can be adjusted at a nearby place, if, the vacancy is there. The necessary exercise be done within a period of two months from today.

The petition is disposed of accordingly.

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

26.09.2017

gurpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No