

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.18918 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision:- 23.08.2017

Jagdish Yadav and others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BINDAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURVINDER SINGH GILL**

Present:- Mr. Sandeep Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Rajesh Bindal, J.

The petitioners have approached this Court claiming that acquisition of land has lapsed in view of Section 24(2) of The Right to Fair Compensation and Transparency in Land Acquisition, Rehabilitation and Resettlement Act, 2013 (for short, 'the 2013 Act'). Notifications under Sections 4 and 6 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (for short, 'the Act') were issued on 04.08.1997 and 22.07.1998, respectively. The acquisition of land is for construction of road between Sectors 44-45 at Gurgaon. It is not in dispute that the petitioners did not file any objections under Section 5-A of the Act as acquisition was being made for the purpose of construction of road. It is further not disputed that the petitioners have received the amount of compensation. The land at the time of acquisition was lying vacant. Merely because some construction has been raised by the petitioners later on along the road on portion of the road lying vacant, they cannot be permitted to invoke jurisdiction of this Court under Section 24(2) of the 2013 Act.

In view of the reasons mentioned above, we do not find any

reason to interfere in the present writ petition.

Dismissed.

(Rajesh Bindal)
Judge

August 23, 2017
mohan

(Gurvinder Singh Gill)
Judge

Whether speaking /reasoned	Yes / No
Whether Reportable	Yes / No