

**115 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.1890 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision : February 02, 2017

Jai Pal

..... Petitioner

Versus

Uttar Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Limited and others Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Gurvinder Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner served as daily wages employee from 1979 to 1987 and as work-charge employee from 1987 to 1993. Thereafter, the services of the petitioner were regularized from 1993. He retired from service on 31.11.2013.

The prayer of the petitioner is for counting the daily wages and work-charge service rendered by him for the purpose of retiral benefits. The petitioner has already served legal notice dated 24.08.2016 (Annexure P-1) to the respondents. The short prayer made at this stage is that the respondents may be directed to decide the legal notice dated 24.08.2016 (Annexure P-1) of the petitioner, in accordance with the rules.

In these circumstances, without issuing notice to the respondents, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3 to decide the legal notice dated 24.08.2016 (Annexure P-1) of the petitioner within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**

February 02, 2017

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable:

No