

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 18861 of 2017

Date of Decision: 23.08.2017

Anil Kumari

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Surinder Kumar Daaria, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

As regards the petitioners' contention that the Government was bound to implement any decision taken in a meeting, for extending the age of superannuation from 58 years to 60 years, the petition is dismissed, that being exclusively a matter of executive policy which would not be interfered with by the Court unless any arbitrariness is shown, which is not seen to be shown in any case in the present petition.

As regards the petitioners' contention for re-employment on the post of an Associate Professor in the subject of Hindi, in the college where she was teaching, i.e. the Government College, Meham, this petition is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority amongst the respondents to take a decision on the application/representation of the petitioner dated 27.07.2017 (Annexure P-5), within a period of three months from the date of the receipt of a certified copy of this order.

August 23, 2017
nitin

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable

No.

Nitin
2017.08.25 13:19
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document