

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 18860 of 2017
Date of Decision: 23.08.2017**

Rajesh Kumar

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Mohammad Arshad, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that qua the petitioners' grievance of a transfer made against the transfer policy (as contended), he has made a representation to respondent no. 2, Annexure P-4, in terms of sub-clause (xvii) of Clause 9 of the transfer policy dated 05.06.2017 (Annexure P-1), which has still not been decided.

Consequently, without making any comment on the merits of the contentions raised, this petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent no. 2 to take a decision on the representation of the petitioner, by passing a speaking order within a period of one month from the date of the receipt of a certified copy of this order.

August 23, 2017
nitin

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable

No.

Nitin
2017.08.25 13:19
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document