

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Date of decision: 26.04.2017

1. CWP No. 24081 of 2014

Virdavinder Singh and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

2. CWP No. 24740 of 2014

Archna Gupta and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

3. CWP No. 23297 of 2014

Parveen and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

4. CWP No. 10850 of 2015

Rajinder Kumar and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

5. CWP No. 957 of 2015

Raj Kumar and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

6. CWP No. 11988 of 2015

Yogesh Sharma and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present: Mr. Sunny Singla, Advocate,
for the petitioners in CWP Nos. 24081, 24740, 23297 of 2014
and 10850 and 957 of 2015.

Mr. Madhav Pokhrel, Advocate,
for the petitioners in CWP No. 11988 of 2015.

Mr. L.S.Virk, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (ORAL)

By this common order, I propose to dispose of above referred six writ petitions. For brevity, facts are being extracted from **CWP No. 24081 of 2014**.

The instant writ petition has been filed seeking to quash the order dated 03.04.2014 (Annexure P-10) by which the pay of the petitioners was fixed w.e.f. 01.01.1997, instead of their date of joining, as per Rule 11 of the Punjab State Education Class-III (School Cadre) Service Rules, 1978.

On instructions from Sanjeev Madan, Senior Assistant, O/o D.P.I. (SE) Punjab, Mr. L.S.Virk, learned Addl. A.G., Punjab submits that the department had prepared a seniority list which came to be challenged in

CWP No. 185 of 2014 and Hon'ble Single Bench of this Court has set aside

the seniority list with a direction to reframe the same in accordance with law. The department has been given a period of four months to reframe the seniority list.

Mr. L.S.Virk, learned Addl. A.G., Punjab submits that the instant writ petitions may be disposed of since the claim of the petitioners will be addressed at the time when the fresh seniority list will be settled.

In view of the fact that since the department is in the process of re-fixing the seniority list, these writ petitions are disposed of being rendered infructuous.

Needless to say, the claim of the petitioners regarding their seniority and fixation of pay will be dealt with at the time their seniority list is being prepared. In case, the petitioners have any grievance they are at liberty to file a fresh writ petition on any cause of action that may accrue to them.

26.04.2017

Satyawan

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether reportable

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Yes.

No.