

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 18828 of 2017  
Decided on : 23.08.2017**

Mintu Thakur and another

. . . Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

. . . Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR MITTAL  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL**

PRESENT: Mr. Subhash Kumar, Advocate  
for the petitioners.

\*\*\*\*

**AJAY KUMAR MITTAL, J. (Oral)**

The petitioner has approached this Court under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeking directions to official respondents No.1 to 5 to make sure the compliance of Condition No.39(7) of conditions dealing with licensed premises and Condition No.(1) & (10)(1) & (2) of Conditions dealing with licenced hours and E-Special Condition No.40(12)(b) of the Punjab Liquor License Rules, Excise and Taxation department (Annexure P-6) as well as to comply with the Special Conditions No.2 and 4 of the Punjab Restaurant (Consumption of Liquor) Rules, 1955 (Annexure P-7) and direct the official respondents to ensure compliance of fixing of minimum rates of wages Section 3 & 22 of the Minimum Wages Act, 1948 (Annexure P-8) along with certain prayers.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners states that inadvertently certain relevant documents could not be appended along with the writ petition as also necessary parties impleaded in the array of respondents. Accordingly, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he may be allowed to withdraw the present writ petition with liberty to the petitioners

to file a fresh petition on the same cause of action by furnishing better particulars and appending relevant documents as well as impleading the necessary parties.

3. Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as prayed for.

**(AJAY KUMAR MITTAL)**  
**JUDGE**

**(AMIT RAWAL)**  
**JUDGE**

**August 23, 2017**

*J.Ram*

*Whether speaking/reasoned:*

*Yes/No*

*Whether Reportable:*

*Yes/No*