

204

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-23339-2015 (O&M)
DATE OF DECISION:06.04.2017**

M/S GURU ENGINEERING WORKS AND ORS.

... Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS.

... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.J. VAZIFDAR, CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL**

Present: Mr. D.K. Tuteja, Advocate and
Mr. Dishant D. Tuteja, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Sandeep Moudgil, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

S.J. VAZIFDAR, C.J. (ORAL)

The petitioners have challenged an order blacklisting them permanently. On this ground alone, the impugned order is liable to be set aside. Further the impugned order merely states that the replies of all the agencies to the show cause notice were considered but does not furnish any reasons in support of the decision.

On these two grounds alone, the petition is liable to be allowed.

In the circumstances, the petition is disposed of by quashing the impugned order dated 14.10.2015 (Annexure P-19). The respondents are, however, at liberty to decide the issue afresh in accordance with law including by affording the petitioner an opportunity of being heard and passing a reasoned order considering that the matter pertains to the year 2009. In the event of the respondents deciding to reconsider the matter, the orders, if any,

insofar as they are adverse to the petitioners, shall not come into effect for a period of four weeks after service thereof upon the petitioners.

(S.J. VAZIFDAR)
CHIEF JUSTICE

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

06.04.2017
SwarnjitS

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes /No
Whether reportable	Yes /No