

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.24042 of 2014.

Date of Decision:-16.05.2017.

Davinder Sharma and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

Present: Mr. R.K. Malik, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Bhupinder Malik, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Siddharth Sanwaria, DAG, Haryana.

P.B. BAJANTHRI, J. (Oral)

The petitioners have sought for a writ of *mandamus* to consider their claim for regularization in the light of the policy (Annexure P-4 and P-5). In the absence of demand/representation or application before the competent authority, petitioners are not entitled for direction or writ of *mandamus*.

Accordingly, petition stands dismissed reserving liberty to the petitioners to make necessary application/representation or demand before the competent authority.

(P.B. BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE

May 16, 2017.
sandeep sethi

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes / No

Whether Reportable:-

Yes / No.