

214
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Civil Writ Petition No.22365 of 2016
Date of decision: November 16, 2017

Kuldip Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

**CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.J.VAZIFDAR, CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARINDER SINGH SIDHU**

Present: Mr.Raman Sharma, Advocate for
the petitioner.

Mr.Sahil Sharma, DAG, Punjab.

Mr.Shekhar Verma, Advocate for
respondents No.2 and 3.

S.J.VAZIFDAR, Chief Justice :

The petitioner has claimed a plot under the Oustees' Quota. It is not necessary to consider the facts, which led to the filing of this petition.

Respondents No.2 and 3 have, on affidavit, stated that the petitioner is entitled to a 100 square yards plot and that the petitioner would be entitled to apply for the same now onwards, whenever, an advertisement regarding the allotment of plots to oustees is issued by GMADA – respondent No.2. The affidavit further states that the price would be as prevailing on the date of the advertisement.

We express no opinion in this regard. The petitioner shall be entitled to raise the issue of price before the respondents, who shall decide the same in accordance with law. If the petitioner seeks the allotment even before an advertisement, alleging that certain others have been allotted the

plots before advertisement, we do not express any opinion in this regard, either. It would be for the respondents to take a decision in this regard if an application is made to allot a plot before an advertisement.

Petition stands disposed of.

(S.J. VAZIFDAR)
CHIEF JUSTICE

November 16, 2017

(HARINDER SINGH SIDHU)

gian

JUDGE Whether Speaking / Reasoned Yes / No Whether
