

202

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-18734-2017

Date of decision : 06.10.2017

Satvinder Singh

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

Food Corporation of India and another

... Respondent(s)

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR MITTAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL**

Present: Mr. Paramdeep, Advocate for
Mr. Gaurav Chopra, Advocate
for the petitioner.

AJAY KUMAR MITTAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner has approached this Court under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of *mandamus* against the inaction of the respondents, whereby without considering the fact that the petitioner is already undertaking the work as HTC Panniwala Mota vide allotment letter dated 24.08.2016 (Annexure P-2), the respondents have illegally and arbitrary initiated fresh tender process vide e-tender notice dated 16.06.2017 (Annexure P-12) from Centre Panniwala Mota during the subsistence of the contract period, which has been awarded to the petitioner from 14.10.2016 to 13.10.2018. A further writ of *mandamus* has been sought for directing the respondents to continue getting the work undertaken by the petitioner, who had been appointed as HTC Panniwala Mota till the expiry of the contract.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the present writ

petition has been rendered infructuous and the same may be disposed of as such.

3. Ordered accordingly.

(AJAY KUMAR MITTAL)
JUDGE

06.10.2017
Yogesh Sharma

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/ No

Whether Reportable Yes/ No