

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 22263 of 2016

Date of decision : 03.08.2017

Dr. Jagdish Chander Khetarpal & anr.

....Petitioners

V/s

State of Haryana & ors.

....Respondents

BEFORE : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJAN GUPTA

Present: Mr. HPS Ishar, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Rohit Arya, Asst. A.G. Haryana.

Mr. Sanjeev Sharma, Advocate for respondents no. 2 & 3.

Mr. R.S. Budhwar, Advocate for respondents no. 5 & 6.

Mr. Ashish Verma, Advocate for respondent no. 7.

RAJAN GUPTA J.

Challenge in the present petition is to decision of the District Bar Association, Yamuna Nagar regarding draw of lots for allotment of chambers in the District court, Jagadhari. During the course of hearing of the petition, learned counsel have submitted that they shall be satisfied, if matter is remitted to the District Judge, Yamuna Nagar to examine the matter and make an effort to resolve the same. This court finds this proposal reasonable.

Under the circumstances, matter is remitted to District Judge, Yamuna Nagar to examine the matter. Learned counsel for the petitioners as well as counsel for the District Bar Association undertake that they shall assist the District Judge to arrive at a just conclusion which is acceptable to all. They further assured the court that they will not adopt any agitational

means for resolving the issue. Writ petition is, thus disposed of with a direction to District Judge, Yamuna Nagar to try to resolve the same at the earliest. Needless to observe that proceedings of the Building Committee shall be taken into consideration while arrive at a decision.

August 03, 2017
Ajay

(RAJAN GUPTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No