

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.18678 of 2017
DECIDED ON: August 22, 2017**

PRITAM KAUR

.....PETITIONER..

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENTS..

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Gobind Rai, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J (ORAL)

By virtue of instant petition preferred under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus, directing the respondents to provide benefit of dual pension to the petitioner as per notification/circular No.504 dated 17.01.2013 (Annexure P-2).

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though legal notice dated 01.03.2016 (Annexure P-3) was duly served upon the respondents but neither any action has been taken nor any response has been received so far. He further submits that petitioner feels satisfied in case direction is given to respondents No.1 and 3, to decide the legal notice, within a stipulated period.

3. Accordingly, instant petition is disposed of with the direction to

respondents No. 1 and 3, to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in her legal notice dated 01.03.2016 (Annexure P-3) and take a conscious decision, in accordance with law, within a period of 4 months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

August 22, 2017
sonika

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned *Yes*

Whether reportable *Yes/No*