

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

129

CWP-18544-2017

Date of Decision : 21.08.2017

Manoj Kumar

..... Petitioner

Versus

HVPNL and another

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Naveen S. Bhardwaj, Advocate
for the petitioner.

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

By this petition the petitioner is claiming that he should be interviewed for the post of Lower Divisional Clerk (LDC).

As per the advertisement a dependent of Ex-serviceman would be considered in that reserved category only if he had a valid Eligibility Certificate in that regard from the concerned Zila Sainik Board. This is clear from the document Annexure P-2 (advertisement). The petitioner admittedly did not have the certificate issued from the Zila Sainik Board. Consequently, when he appeared for verification of documents his candidature was rejected.

Learned counsel has argued that the respondents have fixed a new date of interview for some candidates who did not appear when their interview was fixed and in that analogy has argued that the petitioner should also be permitted to take part in the interview.

I can not accept this argument. Clearly the petitioner has not applied in terms of the advertisement and therefore the documents submitted by him could not be considered. Consequently, no relief can be granted to

the petitioner. Petition is dismissed.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending civil miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

August 21, 2017
ashish

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	-	Yes/No
Whether reportable	-	Yes/No