

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 23708 of 2014 (O&M)

Date of decision: 24.04.2017

Sunita and another

... Petitioners

versus

DHBVNL and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P. B. BAJANTHRI

Present: Mr. Vivek Khatri, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. P.S. Poonia, Advocate for respondent Nos. 1 to 4.

P. B. BAJANTHRI, J. (ORAL)

The civil writ petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India seeking quashing of the seniority list dated 31.10.2014 (Annexure-P10) issued by respondent No.4 and also for directing respondent Nos. 1 to 4 to prepare a fresh seniority list.

From the perusal of the seniority list, it is evident that it is only a tentative seniority list.

Learned counsel for the petitioner pointed out in Annexure P10 that the petitioner's objections have been rejected without finalizing the tentative seniority list.

Learned counsel for respondents pointed out from Annexure P2 by which petitioner's objections to the seniority list has been rejected which is not challenged by him.

Heard learned counsel for the parties and have carefully

perused the file.

From the perusal of the file, it is evident that Annexure-P10 is a tentative seniority list and it has not been finalized yet. Therefore, official respondents are directed to finalize tentative revised ranking list in respect of Engineering Subordinates (General/Field Cadre) Vide Annexure-P10 as well and look into the petitioner's each of the objection and consider and pass speaking order. Communicate decision and final seniority list to the petitioners within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order.

The civil writ petition is disposed of with above directions.

(P. B. BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE

24.04.2017

kv

Whether speaking/non-speaking	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No