

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-26137-2013 (O&M)

Date of Decision:-10.11.2017.

Surinder Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and others

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

Present: Mr. Pranav Handa, Advocate for
Mr. D.A. Dubey, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Lokesh Narang, Senior Panel counsel for UOI.

P.B. BAJANTHRI, J. (Oral)

Petitioner has sought for a direction to the respondents to implement the decision passed by the Hon'ble Guwahati High Court (Imphal Bench) rendered in Civil Rule No.202 of 1998 decided on 08.09.2000 (Annexure P-1). Further he has sought for absorption in terms of the order passed by the Himachal Pradesh High Court in CWP-469 of 2007 dated 25.09.2008 (Annexure P-5) read with the order passed by this Court in CWP No.950 of 2010 on 20.09.2011 (Annexure P-6). In this regard, petitioner is stated he had submitted representation. The filing of representation is disputed by the respondents stating that no such representation has been received by them. Petitioner has not filed replication till date. Therefore, it is presumed that petitioner has not filed application/representation demanding the relief sought in the present petition. For the purpose of

seeking a writ of *mandamus*, one must have demanded his grievance before the competent authority. In the absence of making necessary demand or representation, petitioner has no right to seek writ of *mandamus*.

Accordingly, petition stands dismissed. Reserving liberty to the petitioner to approach the concerned respondent, in accordance with law.

(P.B. BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE

November 10, 2017.

sandeep

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes / No

Whether Reportable:-

Yes / No.