

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.132

Civil Writ Petition No.18377 of 2017
DECIDED ON: August 18, 2017

SUMER SINGH YADAV

..PETITIONER

VERSUS

UCO BANK THROUGH GENERAL MANAGER AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Sunil Kumar Bhardwaj, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J. (ORAL)

By virtue of instant civil writ petition preferred under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought the issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari/mandamus for quashing the impugned action of the respondents in not taking any decision over the payment of TA bills submitted by the petitioner, without assigning any reasons, whereas, retiral benefits have already been released to the petitioner along with usual rate of interest even after being retired the petitioner compulsorily and further to sanction and to disburse the amount of Rs.43,700/- TA bill submitted by the petitioner through proper channel along with interest.

2. The contention of learned counsel for the petitioner is that petitioner has submitted the TA bill along with registered letter No.SP

Civil Writ Petition No.18377 of 2017

EH238742215 IN dated September 23, 2010 to Municipal Corporation, Ludhiana for its further submissions to the Zonal office for its sanction who stood forwarded to the Zonal office vide letter reference No.622/2010 dated September 30, 2010. Since then, said bill is pending with them but no decision has been taken with regard to said bill. Even time and again requests are being made to the concerned authorities but did not fetch any response. The last request was made on February 25, 2017 (Annexure P-5). Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he would be satisfied if a direction is issued to respondent No.2 to dispose of the matter and take a conscious decision within a specified period.

3. Accordingly, instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-Zonal Manager, Now General Manager, UCO Bank, Zonal Office, Bank Square, Sector 17-B, Chandigarh to consider the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in his representation dated February 25, 2017 (Annexure P-5) and take a conscious decision by passing a speaking order within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. In case, respondent No.2 comes to the conclusion that petitioner is entitled to the amount of TA bills, same be disbursed to him within a period of next 30 days.

4. However, if petitioner still feels aggrieved by any order of the afore-said authority, he shall be at liberty to approach this Court.

August 18, 2017

Ankur

**(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE**

**Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable**

**Yes/No
Yes/No**