

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

228

**CWP-22764-2015 (O&M)
Date of decision: 19.04.2017**

Om Parkash

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice P.B. Bajanthri

Present: Mr. Deepak Sonak, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sushil Gautam, DAG, Haryana.

P.B. Bajanthri, J. (Oral)

In the instant writ petition, the petitioner has questioned the validity of Annexure P-6 dated 29.09.2015 by which there is a proposal of refixation of pay of the petitioner. The petitioner has rushed to this court without filing his objections since Annexure P6 office note relates to only a proposal.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that with reference to Annexure P6, petitioner's pay has already been reduced. Thus, there is civil consequences. Hence, he has approached this court.

Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents are not disputing that Annexure P6 is only a proposal. At the same time, without finalizing Annexure P6 they have proceeded to reduce petitioner's pay. The concerned respondent is hereby directed to not to act with reference to

Annexure P6 unless and until petitioner submits his explanation or objection to the proposal of refixation of pay of the petitioner. The petitioner is hereby directed to furnish explanation for refixation of pay if it is wrong within a period of 4 weeks from today. Thereafter, the official respondents after due examination of the petitioner's explanation proceeded to pass final order of refixation of pay.

With the above observation the petition stands disposed of.

19.04.2017

pooja saini

**(P.B.BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasons

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:**Yes/No**