

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

114

**CWP-1818-2017 (O&M)
Date of decision: 08.02.2017**

Manju Chahar

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.... Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice P.B. Bajanthri

Present: Mr. Amit Rana, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

P.B. Bajanthri, J. (Oral)

In the instant writ petition, the petitioner has sought for a direction to evaluate the OMR sheet of the petitioner of the written examination held on 06.12.2015 for the post of PGT, Biology pursuant to the advertisement No.4/2015 dated 28.06.2015. Further she has sought to evaluate answer sheet. If the petitioner has circled in an answer more than one such answer need not be evaluated etc.

Notice of motion was ordered and learned State counsel was directed to produce original OMR sheet of the petitioner. Perusal of the OMR sheet of the petitioner, bearing No. 61201814 with serial no. 61201814 it is evident that question No.44, 48 and 89, the petitioner has violated para 6 of important instructions for the candidates which reads as

under:-

“6. Candidates are warned not to fold or make any stray marks on the OMR Answer Sheet, use of eraser, nail, blade, while fluid/whitener etc. to smudge, scratch or damage in any manner on the OMR sheet during examination is strictly prohibited. Candidature/OMR sheet of candidates using eraser, blade, nail or white fluids/whitener to smudge, scratch or damage in any manner the answer sheets shall be cancelled.”

The petitioner's counsel was also permitted to peruse the original OMR sheet of the petitioner to the extent that petitioner had violated aforesaid instructions to the candidate. Having regard to the important instructions for the candidates in particularly para 6 read with OMR Sheet of the petitioner, it is evident that petitioner has violated para 6. Consequently, decision of the respondent-Selecting authority in cancelling the petitioner's candidature for the post with reference to cancellation of answer sheet of the petitioner is in order. Therefore, no interference is called for. Hence, petition stands dismissed.

08.02.2017

pooja saini

**(P.B.BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasons

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No