

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

202

**Civil Writ Petition No.2269 of 2015
Date of decision: January 23, 2017**

Aman Goel

....Petitioner

versus

Indian Bank and others

...Respondents

***CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR MITTAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMENDRA JAIN***

Present: Mr. Aalok Jagga, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sumeet Batra, Advocate
for respondents No.1 and 2.

AJAY KUMAR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

The challenge in this petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is to order dated 21.05.2014 (**Annexure P-10**) passed by the Debts Recovery Appellate Tribunal, New Delhi whereby common order dated 01.08.2011 (**Annexure P-9**) passed by the Debts Recovery Tribunal-I, Chandigarh (in short 'the Tribunal'), dismissing the Original Application filed by the respondent-Bank under Section 19 of the Recovery of Debts Due to Banks and Financial Institutions Act, 1993 and allowing the Securitization Application filed by the petitioner under Section 17 of the Securitization and Reconstruction of Financial Assets and Enforcement of Security Interest Act, 2002, has been set aside.

2. After arguing for sometime, learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the proceedings are pending before the Tribunal in view of order dated 21.05.2014 (**Annexure P-10**) which is impugned herein. It was, therefore, prayed that he may be allowed to withdraw the present writ petition at this stage with liberty to the petitioner to raise all the pleas before the Tribunal, in accordance with law.

3. Dismissed as withdrawn. It shall, however, be open to the petitioner to take recourse to the remedies as may be available to him, in accordance with law.

(AJAY KUMAR MITTAL)
JUDGE

(RAMENDRA JAIN)
JUDGE

January 23, 2017
sonia gugnani

Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No