

CWP No.18169 of 2017

Date of decision: August 17, 2017

Jagdish Chand and others

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. J.L. Goyal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

JASPAL SINGH, J.(ORAL)

By virtue of instant petition preferred under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioners have sought issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari for quashing the impugned order dated 18.08.2009 (Annexure P-2) vide which the pay of the junior employee recruited on or after 01.01.2006 has been fixed higher than the petitioners who are appointed much prior to the junior appointee with further prayer for the issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to re-fix the pay of the petitioners (step-up) equal to their junior counterparts w.e.f. 13.01.2006 i.e. the date of anomaly, from which junior has been given higher pay in terms of the Revised Pay Rules, 2008, in accordance with judgment passed by this Court in CWP No.11254 of 2010 (Annexure P-7) with all consequential benefits i.e. arrear of pay with interest @ 6% per annum.

At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners feel satisfied in case a direction is issued to the official respondent(s) to decide legal representation dated 07.06.2017 (Annexure P-9) within a stipulated period.

Instant petition is disposed of with a direction to the official respondent(s) to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioners in their legal representation dated 07.06.2017 (Annexure P-9) and to decide the same in accordance with law by keeping in view the judgment passed in CWP No.11254 of 2010 titled as *Neelam Rani Vs. The State of Haryana and others* (Annexure P-7), within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

August 17, 2017
m. sharma

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No