

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.18155 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision:- 17.08.2017

Ram Kumar and others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

**CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Rajesh Bindal
Hon'ble Mr. Justice Gurvinder Singh Gill**

Present:- Mr. Sanjay Verma, Advocate for the petitioners.

Rajesh Bindal, J.

The challenge is to the acquisition of land for the purpose of construction of small dam over BML canal, Hansi Branch, Butana Branch Multipurpose Link Channel. The notification under Section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 was issued on 23.8.2005. It is not in dispute that the petitioners had received the amount of compensation for the acquired land and even the possession thereof had been taken by the State. Even the enhanced compensation for the acquired land has also been received by the petitioners. The only ground raised to challenge the acquisition is that part of the acquired land is lying vacant and has not been utilised for the purpose it was acquired, hence, the same be released.

After hearing learned counsel for the petitioners, we do not find any merit in the arguments raised. Acquisition was made for the purpose of construction of small dam over BML canal, Hansi Branch, Butana Branch Multipurpose Link Channel. In case any extra portion of land has been acquired, the same is always required for future utilisation. There is no merit

in the present petition and the same is dismissed.

(Rajesh Bindal)
Judge

August 17, 2017
pankaj

(Gurvinder Singh Gill)
Judge

Whether speaking /reasoned	Yes / No
Whether Reportable	Yes / No