

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CWP No. 18110 of 2017

Date of Decision: August 17, 2017.

M/s Indian Acrylics Limited, Chandigarh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAJAN GUPTA

Present: Mr.Akshay Bhan, Sr. Advocate
with Mr.Alok Mittal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Rajan Gupta, J (Oral)

Notice of motion.

On the asking of court, Mr.Aditya Sharda, AAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of State of Punjab.

Plea of the petitioner is that in view of policy i.e “Fiscal Incentives for Industrial Promotion-2013”, petitioner is entitled to subsidy. According to him, he spent considerable amount and set up a new unit. He thereafter, applied for necessary benefits under the policy. However, only one line order was sent to him through email informing him that his request for treating the new unit had been considered and declined. He submits that the order is non-speaking in nature, thus needs to be set-aside. At this stage, learned State counsel submits that in case matter is remitted to the same authority, a detailed order shall be passed expeditiously in any case not later than one month.

In view of statement made by learned State counsel, no further direction is necessary. However, order Annexure P/21 is set-aside with liberty to the concerned authority to pass a speaking order in terms of statement made by learned State counsel. Disposed of.

(Rajan Gupta)
Judge

August 17, 2017.

BB

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No